

To appear in the Astronomical Journal

RXJ 1716.6+6708: a young cluster at $z=0.81$

I. M. Gioia^{1,2}, J. P. Henry², C. R. Mullis², H. Ebeling

Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu, HI 96822

and

A. Wolter

Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Via Brera 28, I-20121, Milano, Italy

ABSTRACT

Clusters of galaxies at redshifts nearing one are of special importance since they may be caught at the epoch of formation. At these high redshifts there are very few known clusters. We present follow-up ASCA, ROSAT HRI and Keck LRIS observations of the cluster RXJ 1716.6+6708 which was discovered during the optical identification of X-ray sources in the North Ecliptic Pole region of the ROSAT All-Sky Survey. At $z=0.809$, RXJ 1716.6+6708 is the second most distant X-ray selected cluster so far published and the only one with a large number of spectroscopically determined cluster member velocities. The optical morphology of RXJ 1716.6+6708 resembles an inverted S-shape filament with the X-rays coming from the midpoint of the filament. The X-ray contours have an elongated shape that roughly coincides with the weak lensing contours. The cluster has a low temperature, $kT = 5.66^{+1.37}_{-0.58}$ keV, and a very high velocity dispersion $\sigma_{los} = 1522^{+215}_{-150}$ km s⁻¹. While the temperature is commensurate with its X-ray luminosity of $(8.19 \pm 0.43) \times 10^{44}$ h₅₀⁻² erg s⁻¹ (2–10 keV rest frame), its velocity dispersion is much higher than expected from the $\sigma - T_X$ relationship of present-day clusters with comparable X-ray luminosity. RXJ 1716.6+6708 could be an example of a protocluster, where matter is flowing along filaments and the X-ray flux is maximum at the impact point of the colliding streams of matter.

Subject headings: galaxies: clusters - general - individual: RXJ 1716.6+6708; X-rays: general

¹Also Istituto di Radioastronomia del CNR, Via Gobetti 101, I-40129, Bologna, ITALY

²Visiting Astronomer at Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope, operated by the National Research Council of Canada, le Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique de France and the University of Hawai'i, and at the W. M. Keck Observatory, jointly operated by the California Institute of Technology, the University of California and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

1. Introduction

In hierarchical theories of structure formation, clusters of galaxies form from the high peaks in the original density field, thus they provide crucial constraints on the shape, amplitude, and temporal evolution of the primordial mass fluctuation spectrum. Despite their importance, the statistics for the abundance of high- z (>0.5) clusters are poor since they are so difficult to locate. Optical surveys of distant galaxy clusters are well known to have serious statistical shortcomings such as effects of superpositions of unvirialized systems (see among others Frenk et al. 1990; Van Haarlem et al. 1997). In addition, at high redshifts it becomes difficult to detect enhancements in the galaxy surface density against the overwhelming field galaxy population (see Oke et al. 1998 for the success rate of optical high- z cluster searches).

One of the cleanest ways to avoid sample contamination is the selection of high- z clusters by means of their X-ray emission. X-ray surveys are sensitive enough to detect distant clusters. Examples include MS1137+66, at $z=0.78$ and MS1054–03 at $z=0.83$ in the Medium Survey (Gioia et al. 1990 and Gioia and Luppino 1994; see also the detailed study on MS1054–03 by Donahue et al. 1998). Other X-ray surveys being conducted with ROSAT archive data are also finding distant clusters, for example the WARPS cluster at 0.83 (RXJ0152.7-1357, Ebeling et al. 1998) and the clusters discovered in the RDCS survey (Rosati et al. 1995; Rosati et al. 1998). The RDCS holds the record for the most distant X-ray selected cluster known at $z\sim 1.26$ (Rosati et al. 1999). These X-ray surveys are demonstrating that they can go as deep as optical searches in locating distant clusters.

Other techniques are successfully isolating clusters. Clustering around radio galaxies at redshift equal or greater than 1 has been reported (see among others 3C 184, $z=0.996$, Deltorn et al. 1997; MRC0316–257, $z=3.14$, LeFèvre et al. 1996; 3C 294, $z=1.786$, Crawford and Fabian 1996; 3C 324, $z=1.206$, Smail and Dickinson 1995). A new promising technique which is currently providing interesting results is the search for distant clusters in the near-IR (ClG J0848+4453, $z=1.27$ from 8 cluster members, Stanford et al. 1997). However, with the exception of the survey of nine high redshift optically-selected clusters by Oke and collaborators (Oke et al. 1998, Lubin et al. 1998, Postman et al. 1998), most of these distant structures have spectroscopic determinations for less than one dozen cluster members, at best.

Our group at the Institute for Astronomy in Hawai'i has been involved for several years in the optical identification of all the sources found in 84.7 deg^2 centered at the North Ecliptic Pole (NEP) of the ROSAT All-Sky Survey (RASS, Voges et al. 1996). The NEP region is the deepest area of the RASS. We reported in Henry et al. (1997) the detection of a distant cluster, RXJ 1716.6+6708, at $z=0.8$ with only 33 net photons. This weak detection revealed a very interesting object. The spectroscopy in hand at the time of the Henry et al. (1997) paper was limited to only 12 cluster members but there was already an indication (see their Fig. 1) that the galaxies in the inverted S-shaped filament were all part of the X-ray cluster. We report here follow-up observations of RXJ 1716.6+6708 at optical and X-ray wavebands.

Very high-redshift X-ray selected clusters, such as the one presented here, are important to study. Optically selected clusters tend to be under-luminous X-ray sources (Bower et al. 1997 and reference therein), an indication that generally they are not massive objects. As more accurate observing capabilities become available, the number of distant known clusters will steadily increase, and the counts may severely constrain cosmological models. However, we are still far from having large complete samples of high- z clusters to test the predictions of cosmic structure formation theories at these redshifts.

Throughout this paper, we assume $H_0 = 50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, and $q_0 = 0.5$, unless otherwise stated. At the redshift of the cluster, the luminosity distance is $5.56 h_{50}^{-1} \text{ Gpc}$, the angular size distance is $1.70 h_{50}^{-1} \text{ Gpc}$, and the scale is $8.24 h_{50}^{-1} \text{ kpc per arcsec}$.

2. Observations

The discovery data of RXJ 1716.6+6708 are reported in Henry et al. (1997). Here we present the optical spectroscopy performed at Keck-II and the X-ray follow-up observations acquired with the HRI on ROSAT and with the ASCA satellite. We first describe the optical spectroscopy which gives a very high value for the velocity dispersion. Then we present the ASCA spectra which show that RXJ 1716.6+6708 has a temperature commensurate with its bolometric luminosity but not with its velocity dispersion. Finally, we describe in detail the HRI data and present an X-ray image revealing a morphology which is elongated in the same direction as the optical galaxies.

2.1. KECK slit-mask Spectroscopy

The region of RXJ 1716.6+6708 was observed spectroscopically on July 10 and 11, 1997, with the Low Resolution and Imaging Spectrograph (LRIS, Oke et al. 1995) and slit-masks on the Keck-II telescope. The 300 l/mm grating blazed at $5000 \text{ \AA}$ combined with our grating angle yielded a wavelength coverage of approximately $4500 \text{ \AA}$ to $9500 \text{ \AA}$, and a pixel size of $2.45 \text{ \AA}$. A GG495 filter was used to eliminate the overlapping second order spectrum so as not to have any contamination blueward of $9500 \text{ \AA}$. Given the fact that galaxies are extended objects even at this redshift, we have used a slit of $1.5''$ in width, which gives a reduced spectral resolution of $16 \text{ \AA}$. For the selection of the objects to observe, we have used a very deep 3 color (B, R and I) image kindly supplied by Doug Clowe and published in Clowe et al. (1998). The UCSCLRIS package designed by Drew Phillips and collaborators at Lick Observatory was used to prepare the slit-mask files. We designed four masks at different position angles in order to cover the central part of the cluster. The four masks were exposed for 10,350s, 10,800s, 13,500s and 10,300s respectively. After each mask, exposure flat fields and arc calibrations were also obtained. The data were reduced using the standard IRAF package routines for 2-D spectra images.

Some of the objects were observed more than once in different masks. In the end, out

of 62 unique objects observed, 37 proved to be cluster member galaxies. The galaxies in the cluster are marked in Figure 1 and listed in Table 1. For each galaxy the (J2000) coordinates, measured velocity, 1σ error, and redshift are given. In the last column the main spectral features are also noted. The velocity histogram for the 37 member galaxies is shown in Figure 2. Using the Timothy Beers’ program, ROSTAT, we obtain an average redshift and velocity dispersion (corrected to first order for cosmological redshift by dividing by $1+z$) which are $z=0.8090\pm0.0051$ and $\sigma_{los}=1522^{+215}_{-150}$ km s $^{-1}$. Using different methods (Beers, Flynn and Gebhardt 1990, Danese et al. 1980) we obtain the same velocity dispersion within the uncertainties. This high velocity dispersion was already noted by Henry et al. 1997 with only 12 galaxy redshifts (the 37 cluster members presented here include the 12 cluster galaxies of Henry et al. 1997). The old and new results are consistent with each other within the uncertainties. We will discuss the implications of the high velocity dispersion for this cluster in Section 3.

2.2. ASCA Spectroscopy

We observed RXJ 1716.6+6708 with ASCA (Tanaka et al. 1994) on June 9 and 11, 1996 for 115,779 and 53,191 seconds respectively. The ASCA instrumentation consists of four independent detectors, two Solid-State Imaging Spectrometers (SIS) sensitive in the range 0.5–9 keV (140 eV resolution at E=6 keV), and two Gas Imaging Spectrometers (GIS) with poorer energy resolution but with some efficiency up to 11 keV. The SIS observations were performed in 2-CCD Faint mode for High bit rate and Bright mode for Medium bit rate observations. The Faint mode data are converted into Bright mode data on the ground in order to combine them with the on-board Bright mode data. Thus all data analysis is performed on Bright data. We used the eight independent data-sets to extract the data in the regions of interest and then combined the datasets in 2 groups, one for all the GIS and one for all the SIS, for the spectral analysis.

Data preparation was done using the software package XSELECT in FTOOLS (version v4.1) which allows selection of valid time intervals and removal of hot and flickering pixels. We used the newly reprocessed data of RXJ 1716.6+6708 as suggested by the ASCA processing team. The reprocessing was necessary since incorrect orbit files were used in the first processing. The orbit files contain a time history of ASCA’s orbital parameters and the use of out-of-date files could cause reduced signal to noise and inaccurate fluxes. For the effective area and point spread function files we used the latest versions available (xrt_ea_v2_0.fits and xrt_psf_v2_0.fits). Clean X-ray event lists were extracted using a magnetic cut-off rigidity threshold of 6 GeV c $^{-1}$ and the recommended minimum elevation angles and bright Earth angles to reject background contamination (Day et al. 1995). Events were extracted from within circles of radii 2.5 arcmin (SISs) and 6.25 arcmin (GISs). We rejected about 98% of cosmic ray events by using only SIS chip data grades 0, 2, 3 and 4. Light curves for each instrument were visually inspected to exclude, if present, intervals with high background or data dropouts. The individual SIS response matrices were generated using “sisrmg” (v 1.1, April 97 version) which takes into account the

large time-dependence of various aspects of the SIS instruments responses. The SIS data were rebinned in the standard way to 512 channels applying Bright2linear with the lowest 17 channels (< 0.6 keV) flagged as bad. To convert SIS PHA (Pulse Height Analyzer) values to PI (Pulse Invariants) bins the file `sisph2pi_110397.fits` was used. The data were then regrouped so that no energy bin had fewer than 20 counts. Local background estimates were taken rather than the deep background images supplied by the ASCA Guest Observer Facility (GOF). Even if the blank sky background subtraction gives a better statistical quality, in the local background subtraction both the particle and the galactic diffuse background are properly accounted for. The background spectra of RXJ 1716.6+6708 were extracted with the same filters and parameters used to extract the source data. Background counts were extracted from within annuli centered on the source and with an inner radius of 6.25 (2.5) arcmin and outer radius of 11.25 (4) arcmin for the GISs (SISs). The details for both observations are given in Table 2.

Spectral analysis was performed using XSPEC (v10.0) from the software package XANADU (Arnaud 1996). As mentioned earlier, given the low statistics of our eight datasets (4 data-sets for each observation) we fitted the spectral data, together with their respective response files, after grouping them into 2 groups (one for the four GISs and one for the four SISs) in order to allow for different values of the parameters fitting the GIS and SIS data. The GIS data were fitted in the 0.8–9 keV band and the SIS data in the 0.6–8 keV band. The spatial resolution of ASCA along with the low signal-to-noise of the data permitted only a global single-temperature (Raymond & Smith 1977) model to be fitted to the data. For the GIS dataset we fixed the hydrogen column density to the Galactic value along the line of sight at the cluster position, $N_H = 3.7 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Dickey and Lockman 1990) while it was left free for the SIS dataset. The fitting procedure gave an anomalous measurement of an order of magnitude higher value for the N_H , which may reflect problems still present in the SIS calibration (see the web page http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/asca/cal_probs.html). The normalizations for the four GIS (SIS) spectra were constrained to be the same. The parameters varied to provide a fit to 8 spectra were therefore the 2 independent normalizations, the HI value, a single emission-weighted temperature, and the iron abundance. A best-fit cluster rest frame temperature of $kT = 5.66_{-0.58}^{+1.37}$ keV was measured for the cluster gas and a value $A = 0.43_{-0.21}^{+0.25}$ for the metallicity. All the errors are at the 68% confidence levels (1σ), and all the fits have an acceptable reduced $\chi^2 = 1.05\text{--}1.09$. Figure 3 shows the two-parameter χ^2 contours for the cluster fractional metallicity and temperature. The derived unabsorbed flux in the ASCA band is $f_{2-10\text{keV}} = (1.78 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ corresponding to a luminosity in the cluster rest frame of $L_{2-10\text{keV}} = (8.19 \pm 0.43) \times 10^{44} \text{ h}_{50}^{-2} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, and to a bolometric luminosity $L_{\text{bol}} = (17.40 \pm 0.91) \times 10^{44} \text{ h}_{50}^{-2} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. Converting to the 0.5–2.0 keV ROSAT band for comparison with the ROSAT HRI data and with the ROSAT All-Sky survey data, and using the same assumptions as above, we derive an unabsorbed flux in 0.5–2.0 keV equal to $f_{0.5-2\text{keV}} = (1.66 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and a luminosity in the cluster rest frame $L_{0.5-2\text{keV}} = (4.57 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{44} \text{ h}_{50}^{-2} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. This is a little higher than the flux reported in Henry (1997) of $(1.13 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ obtained from the RASS PSPC data.

2.3. ROSAT Imaging

X-ray observations of RXJ 1716.6+6708 were obtained with the ROSAT High Resolution Imager (HRI, Trümper 1983) in a single pointing in May 1997, for a net live time of 170,853s. The HRI operates in the ROSAT 0.1–2.4 keV energy band and provides an angular resolution of $\sim 4''$ (FWHM).

We have extracted the total counts from the cluster in a circle of $125''$ radius ($\sim 1.03 h_{50}^{-1}$ Mpc at the cluster redshift) centered at the position $\alpha(\text{J2000}) = 17^h 16^m 48^s.2$ and $\delta(\text{J2000}) = 67^\circ 08' 22''.4$. This position is the centroid of the X-ray emission as determined by the maximum likelihood method used in the IRAF/PROS (v 2.5.p1) task *xplot.xexamine*. The position of the X-ray centroid is fully consistent with the optical position of the cD galaxy (#20 in Table 1) within the uncertainties of the HRI. The displacement in right ascension is $1.7''$ to the west and in declination is $0.4''$ to the north of the brightest cluster galaxy. Background counts were taken from three different regions free of sources within circles of $240''$ radius. There are 788 ± 117 net counts in 0.1–2.4 keV in the cluster region. Assuming for the temperature and the iron abundance of the gas the values $kT=5.7$ keV and $A=0.4$, as determined from ASCA data, and a hydrogen column density along the line of sight $N_H=3.7 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, we determine an unabsorbed flux in 0.5–2.0 keV of $f_{0.5-2.0\text{keV}} = (1.42 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The X-ray luminosity in the 0.5–2.0 keV cluster rest frame is $L_{0.5-2\text{keV}} = (3.94 \pm 0.58) \times 10^{44} h_{50}^{-2} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. Both the flux and luminosity are in excellent agreement within the uncertainties with the ASCA determined values (see Table 3 for a summary of fluxes and luminosities in the various energy bands).

The high on-axis resolution of the HRI allows us to study in more detail the morphology of the X-ray emission from RXJ 1716.6+6708. To take full advantage of the intrinsic resolution of the instrument we created an HRI image with $2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ arcsec}^2$ pixels using software kindly provided by Steve Snowden. In addition to filtering the raw event list, the code also produces maps of the expected HRI particle background and the effective exposure time. In Figure 4 we show the X-ray iso-intensity contours from the HRI observation overlaid on an optical CCD image of the cluster. The HRI counts image was smoothed with a Gaussian filter of 5 arcsec width (1σ) and then corrected for particle background events and exposure time variations.

As shown in Figure 4, the HRI reveals that RXJ 1716.6+6708 is morphologically complex at X-ray wavelengths too. The emission is clearly extended over a scale of roughly 1 arcmin ($\approx 500 h_{50}^{-1} \text{ kpc}$), and its shape is indicative of the non-regularity of this cluster. While the strongest emission originates from an almost circular peak whose location is consistent with the position of the cD, the lower flux contours show a pronounced centroid shift and become significantly elongated in the same NE-SW direction as the distribution of the galaxies³. The elongated shape of the emission coincides qualitatively with the dark matter contours determined by Clowe et al. (1998), shown in the bottom panel of Figure 4.

³The faint source about 50 arcsec to the SE of the cluster centre is most likely not related to the cluster.

2.4. X-ray Profile

Even if β models applied to this cluster are poorly constrained, we will attempt in the following to extract an X-ray profile and derive an X-ray mass to compare to the weak lensing mass measured by Clowe et al. (1998). A radial profile of the X-ray emission is obtained by summing the HRI counts in concentric annuli of variable width centered on the peak of the X-ray emission and dividing by the area of the annuli. This is possible out to a radius of $125''$ ($\sim 1.03 h_{50}^{-1}$ Mpc at the cluster redshift) at which point the profile becomes indistinguishable, within the noise, from the background level. The profile is then fit with a β model (Cavaliere and Fusco-Femiano 1976) described by the standard form $S(r) = S_0(1 + r^2/r_c^2)^{-3\beta+1/2}$, with S_0 = central surface brightness, r_c = core radius and β = slope parameter. The values of the best fit (90% confidence level) are $S_0 = 3.24 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ arcmin}^{-2}$, $r_c = 6''.8 \pm 6''.1$ and $\beta = 0.42^{+0.14}_{-0.09}$. Both the core radius and the β parameter are poorly constrained given the irregular X-ray morphology. At the distance of the cluster this core radius corresponds to $56 h_{50}^{-1} \text{ kpc}$ with a similar size error (from 5.7 to 106 kpc). The size of the core radius could indicate the presence of a cooling flow. However, there is no evidence for excess emission in the very core of the cluster, thus any cooling flow must be of marginal significance. The existence of a cooling flow in RXJ 1716.6+6708 would also be inconsistent with the emerging view that cooling flows form preferably in dynamically undisturbed clusters (see Peres *et al.* among others). A fit of a point source plus the β model to the data results in a contribution of less than 15% of the point-like component. To confirm or disprove the presence of a central excess a spectral-spatial fit would be required. This is not possible with the X-ray data in hand, we have nonetheless attempted to fit a 2-temperature model to the ASCA spectra. The addition of the second temperature model does not improve significantly the fit with respect to the 1-Temperature model (F-test probability less than 2%).

Small core radii (less than 40–50 kpc) and small β values (~ 0.5), such as this cluster seems to possess, have been observed in other clusters; see for instance MS1512+3647 ($r_c = 6''.9 \pm 1''.1$ ($42.6 \pm 6.7 h_{50}^{-1} \text{ kpc}$), $\beta = 0.524 \pm 0.031$; Hamana et al. 1997) or MS0440+0204 ($r_c = 6''.4 \pm 1''.1$ ($26.7 \pm 4.5 h_{50}^{-1} \text{ kpc}$), $\beta = 0.45 \pm 0.03$; Gioia et al. 1998). The small size of the core radii and β s for these X-ray selected clusters is an issue that will be investigated in future work. Here we use these two correlated parameters to derive an estimate of the cluster mass.

2.5. Mass estimate: X-ray

The mass determined using X-ray data does depend on assumptions involving spherical symmetry and hydrostatic equilibrium, thus some of the assumptions may not apply in this case. These assumptions are found to be valid on the average in N-body simulation studies of cluster formation by Schindler (1996) and Evrard et al. (1996). Moreover, from extensive simulations Evrard et al. (1996) have shown that errors on cluster masses, using scaling relations between mass and temperature, are typically of the order of 20% even in cases, like RXJ 1716.6+6708,

where some substructure is present. Allen et al. 1996 caution against the use of single-phase analyses with ROSAT data, since the presence of a cooling flow with distributed mass deposition implies that the central intracluster medium (ICM) has a range of temperatures and densities at any particular radius: i.e. the ICM is multi-phase. There is no evidence of any significant cooling flow in RXJ 1716.6+6708, thus we feel that the assumptions adopted for the deprojection outlined below are largely correct. We should bear in mind though that the derived quantities using the HRI profile should be interpreted with some caution given the asymmetry of the X-ray contours map that suggest that RXJ 1716.6+6708 is not a well relaxed cluster.

From the HRI surface brightness profile, assuming a constant temperature, we have derived the three-dimensional density distribution of the gas from the two-dimensional image. The integrated mass in all forms can then be derived as a function of radius directly from the equation of the hydrostatic equilibrium

$$M(r) = -\frac{rkT_g}{G\mu m_p} \left[\frac{d \ln \rho_g}{d \ln r} + \frac{d \ln T_g}{d \ln r} \right], \quad (1)$$

The radial dependence of the gas density ρ_g is given by the ROSAT HRI observations. T_g is the intracluster temperature, μ is the mean molecular weight of the gas, and m_p is the proton mass. A constant intracluster gas temperature of 5.66 keV as measured by ASCA is assumed. Our estimates for the gas and gravitational mass within $125''$ ($\sim 1.03 h_{50}^{-1}$ Mpc at the cluster redshift) are $(8.9 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{13} h_{50}^{-5/2} M_\odot$ and $(2.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{14} h_{50}^{-1} M_\odot$. The corresponding gas mass fraction, $M_{gas}/M_{tot} = (31 \pm 8)\% h_{50}^{-3/2}$, is higher than found by other investigators (11–25% $h_{50}^{-3/2}$ David et al. 1995 and White and Fabian 1995). More recently Evrard (1997) has published a revised average value of 17% for the gas fraction using the above referenced compilations. There is not yet a consensus on the value of the hot gas to total mass ratio in clusters, and on the fact whether this ratio is really representative of the fraction of baryons in the universe. The measurements seem to indicate that the gas fraction may vary from cluster to cluster and may even vary in the same cluster as a function of radius (see Donahue 1998).

We compare now the total mass from X-rays to the mass derived from the gravitational shear signal by Clowe et al. (1998). These authors find in roughly the same region (their $500 h^{-1} \text{kpc}$ aperture corresponds to 1 Mpc in our cosmology) a value of $(5.2 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{14} h_{50}^{-1} M_\odot$. The weak lensing mass is computed assuming the background galaxies lie on a sheet at $z=2$ and is higher, even within the uncertainties, than the X-ray derived mass. We note however that the Clowe et al. (1998) mass determination takes into account also the second clump of matter associated with the NE group of galaxies which is clearly separated in their mass distribution map from the main cluster mass (see Figure 4). The X-ray gravitational mass comes only from the main optical clump centered on the cD. In addition the weak lensing center of mass of the main cluster is displaced with respect to the center of the light and X-ray peaks of the cluster; it is located $27''$ east and $11''$ north of the cD (see Figure 4) but consistent within the uncertainties of the weak lensing positions. The weak lensing mass distribution also resembles a filament of structure elongated in the same direction as the chain of optical galaxies.

3. Results and Discussion

In the following discussion we will focus on the implications of measured quantities such as the high velocity dispersion, or low temperature, rather than derived quantities such as the gravitational mass from the X-ray data. First we would like to note that using three completely different instruments (ROSAT PSPC, ASCA and ROSAT HRI) we obtain within the uncertainties a consistent flux for the cluster. This is more remarkable than it seems since one has to keep in mind that the discovery data of RXJ 1716.6+6708 consisted of only 33 net counts.

There are not many X-ray selected clusters known at this high redshift; the other published examples are the 2 clusters from the EMSS (Gioia et al. 1990), MS1054–03 at 0.83 and MS1137+66 at 0.78 (Gioia and Luppino 1994). Donahue et al. (1998) have made a follow-up study of MS1054–03 similar to the one presented here. We will compare in what follows the similarities and differences between RXJ 1716.6+6708 and MS1054–03. A more detailed study of the other Medium Survey cluster, MS1137+66, has been submitted (Donahue et al. 1999).

RXJ 1716.6+6708 and MS1054–03, both at a redshift higher than 0.8, show a filamentary appearance in their optical morphology. The Medium Survey cluster has an optical elongation in the east-west direction with the HRI contours extended over a region of about $1'$ in the same direction as the optical galaxies (Donahue et al. 1998). RXJ 1716.6+6708 also has an extended X-ray emission of about $1'$, with the center of the X-rays on the cD galaxy and the elongation in the same direction as the optical galaxies.

Similarly to MS1054–03, RXJ 1716.6+6708 has a high velocity dispersion which can be interpreted as a signature of non-virialization. However, differently from MS1054–03, we are dealing here with a rather cool cluster ($kT=5.66$ keV against $kT=12.3$ keV). The temperature of RXJ 1716.6+6708, $5.66^{+1.37}_{-0.58}$, is commensurate with the predictions from its X-ray luminosity from the L_X-T_X relation by David et al. (1993) and Arnaud and Evrard (1998). There is an extensive literature on the correlation between these two basic and measurable quantities (Edge & Stewart 1991, Ebeling 1993, David et al. 1993, Fabian et al. 1994, Mushotzky & Scharf 1997, Markevitch 1998, Arnaud and Evrard, 1998). Comparing the bolometric luminosity of RXJ 1716.6+6708 with the best fit relation, $\log(L_X)=(2.88\pm0.15) \log(T/6\text{keV}) +(45.06\pm0.03)$ obtained by Arnaud and Evrard 1998, analyzing a sample of 24 low- z clusters with accurate temperature measurements and absence of strong cooling flows, we would expect for RXJ 1716.6+6708 a temperature of 6.9 keV. We measure $5.66^{+1.38}_{-0.58}$. The L_X-T_X relation does not seem to evolve much with redshift since $z=0.4$ (Mushotzky & Scharf 1997; Henry 1997) and possibly since $z=0.8$ (see study on MS1054–03 by Donahue et al. 1998). Modest evolution is predicted in some evolution models with $\Omega_o=1$ if the gas is pre-heated before falling into the cluster potential (Evrard and Henry, 1991; Kaiser, 1991).

Next we check the $\sigma-T_X$ relationship. The measured velocity dispersion of RXJ 1716.6+6708, 1522^{+215}_{-150} km s $^{-1}$, is much higher than expected from its temperature. A large number of authors (see Table 5 in Girardi et al., 1996, or Table 2 in Wu, Fang and Xu, 1998, for an exhaustive list

of papers on the subject) have attempted to determine the σ – T_X using different cluster samples in order to test the dynamical properties of clusters. Using the derived value from the HRI data of $\beta=0.42$ and the measured temperature of 5.7 keV from the ASCA data for the temperature of the gas in the equation $\beta = \frac{\mu m_p \sigma_v^2}{k T_{gas}}$ we obtain a velocity dispersion of about 600 km s^{−1}. Even assuming energy equipartition between the galaxies and the gas in the cluster ($\beta=1$) we still obtain a velocity dispersion much lower than measured ($\sim 929^{+107}_{-48}$ km s^{−1} vs 1522 km s^{−1}). In other words in an isothermal potential the mass distribution of the cluster does not correspond to the isotropic one-dimensional velocity dispersion. This is rather at odds with results of hot, high- z clusters recently observed. The velocity dispersions of three high (>0.5) redshift clusters, MS1054–03, MS0016+16 and MS0451–03 (see discussion in Donahue et al. 1998) all reflect their temperatures according to the above equation with $\beta=1$. Girardi et al. (1996) have derived a best fit relation between the velocity dispersion and the X-ray temperature, with more than 30% reduced scatter with respect to previous work (Edge and Stewart 1991; Lubin and Bahcall 1993; Bird, Mushotzky and Metzler, 1995; Wu, Fang and Xu 1998, among others). Girardi et al. (1996) have taken into account distortions in the velocity fields, asphericity of the cluster or presence of substructures to derive their best fit relation, $\log(\sigma)=(2.53\pm0.04)+(0.61\pm0.05)\log(T)$. If we plug in the temperature of RXJ 1716.6+6708 in the above relation, the resulting velocity dispersion value is discrepant with what is measured. A temperature of $11.5^{+3.0}_{-1.6}$ keV would be expected for $\sigma=1522$ km s^{−1}.

RXJ 1716.6+6708 may be an example of cluster which has not reached virial equilibrium, its dynamical state may be in large part dominated by infall or merging and consequently the velocity dispersion is not representative of the virial temperature of the cluster. If RXJ 1716.6+6708 is actually composed of two or more distinct gravitational components the situation should be apparent from the velocity histogram (which is Gaussian from Fig. 2), unless the separation of the cluster components is so small to be statistically of little significance. The high value of the velocity dispersion can be due to a number of causes. If there is any fraction of infalling galaxies which are bound to the cluster but not yet virialized, they could inflate the velocity dispersion. These galaxies in the cluster would be moving on radial orbits. To see if any substructure is present in the redshift space we have considered the galaxies in Figure 1 lying outside a circle (0.5 Mpc radius) centered on the cD, to the north-east and to the south-west of a dividing line passing through the cD with position angle of about +135° East of North. There are eleven galaxies to the NE (labeled in Table 1 as 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 25) and nine galaxies to the SW (labeled 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34 and 37). The mean redshift of the galaxies to the NE is 0.8107 ± 0.0018 (1 σ on the mean), and the mean redshift of the galaxies to the SW is 0.8021 ± 0.0027 , which implies a difference in redshift between the two components of $\Delta z=0.0086\pm0.0032$ (1425 km s^{−1} in velocity space), a small effect that is significant at 2.65 σ . It might well be that galaxies are infalling towards the center of the cluster, and while some galaxies have already reached (or crossed) the core region, some others are still moving along radial orbits towards its center.

We recall here that numerical simulations have shown that the universe is composed of a

web of filaments and voids on the scale of hundreds of megaparsecs. The clusters of galaxies form at the intersections of these filaments and grow with time from quasi-spherical systems to the spherical objects that we observe today as gas, galaxies and dark matter potential wells. Filamentary structures have been observed in less distant clusters (i.e. A2125, Wang et al. 1998; the ABCG 85/87/89 complex, Durret et al. 1998). The initial formation of protoclusters is often described as matter flowing along filaments (Bond, Kofman and Pogosyan, 1996) with the X-ray flux maximum at the impact point of two colliding streams of matter. In this scenario the hot gas and the galaxies would not be in hydrostatic equilibrium and the transient velocity dispersion could be higher than expected from a virialized system. We are probably witnessing this process in this very distant X-ray selected cluster, and RXJ 1716.6+6708 might be more properly called a protocluster.

We are grateful to Drew Phillis and collaborators for the use of his UCSCLRIS package and to Timothy Beers for the use of his ROSTAT program to calculate velocity dispersions. Steve Snowden kindly provided us with the latest version of his CAST_HRI package which we found very useful in the analysis of the data from our HRI observation. We thank an anonymous referee for helpful comments which improved this paper. Partial financial support from NSF grant AST95-00515, from NASA-STScI grants GO-5402.01-93A and GO-05987.02-94A, from NASA grants NAG5-1880 and NAG5-2523, from SAO contract SV4-64008 and from CNR-ASI grants is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Allen, S.W., Fabian, A.C. and Kneib, J.P., 1996, MNRAS, 279, 615
- Arnaud K.A. 1988, in “Cooling flows in Clusters and Galaxies”, Fabian, A.C. ed, Kluwer Academic Publ. Dordrecht, p. 31
- Arnaud, K.A., 1966, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V, eds. G. Jacoby and J.K. Barnes, ASP Conf. Ser., vol 101
- Arnaud, M. and Evrard, A.E., submitted to MNRAS, astro-ph/9806353
- Beers, T.C., Flynn, K., and Gebhardt, K., 1990, AJ, 100, 32
- Bird, C.M., Mushotzky, R.F. and Metzler, C.A., 1995, ApJ, 453, 40
- Bond, J. R., Kofman, L. and Pogosyan, D., 1996, Nature, 380, 603
- Bower, R. G., Boehringer, H., Briel, U., Ellis, R. S., Castander, F. J. and Couch, W. J., 1994, MNRAS, 268, 345
- Bower, R. G., Castander, F. J., Couch, W. J., Ellis, R. S. and Boehringer, H., 1997, MNRAS, 291, 353
- Cavaliere, A. and Fusco-Femiano, R., 1976, A&A, 49, 137
- Clowe, D.I., Luppino, G.A., Kaiser, N., Henry, J.P. and Gioia, I.M., 1998, ApJ, 497, L61
- Crawford, C.S. and Fabian, C.A., 1996, MNRAS, 282, 1483
- Danese, L., De Zotti, G. and di Tullio, G., 1980, A&A, 82, 322
- David, L.P., Slyz, A., Jones, C., Forman, W. and Vrtillek, S.D., 1993, ApJ, 412, 479
- David, L.P., Jones, C. Forman, W. 1995, ApJ, 445, 578
- Day, C., Arnaud, K., Ebisawa, K., Gotthelf, E., Ingham, J., Mukai, K. and White, N. 1995, “The ABC Guide to ASCA Data Reduction, Fourth Version”, available by request from the ASCA GOF.
- Deltorn, J-M, Le Fèvre, O., Crampton, D. and Dickinson, M., 1997, ApJ, 483, L21
- Dickey, J.M. and Lockman, F.J., 1990, ARAA, 28, 215
- Donahue, M., 1998, in “Origins” ASP Conference Series, eds., C.E. Woodward, J.M. Shull, and H. Thronson, vol. 148, pages 109-126
- Donahue, M., Voit, G.M., Gioia, I., Luppino, G., Hughes, J.P., and Stocke, J.T., 1998, ApJ, 502, 550

- Donahue, M., Voit, G.M., Scharf, C.A., Gioia, I.M., Mullis, C.R., Hughes, J.P., and Stocke, J.T., 1999, ApJ, submitted
- Durret, F., Forman, W., Gerbal, D., Jones, C. and Vikhlinin, A., 1998, A&A, 335, 41
- Ebeling, H., 1993, PhD Thesis, MPE
- Ebeling, H., Jones, L.R., Perlman, E., Scharf, C., Horner, D., Wegner, G., Malkan, M. and Mullis, C.R., 1998, submitted to ApJ
- Edge, A.C., and Stewart, G.C., 1991, MNRAS, 252, 414
- Evrard, A.E. and Henry, J.P., 1991, ApJ, 383, 95
- Evrard, A.E., Metzler, C.A. and Navarro, J.F. 1996, ApJ, 469, 494
- Evrard, A.E., 1997, MNRAS, 292, 289
- Fabian, A.C., Crawford, C.S., Edge, A.C., Mushotzky, R.F., 1994, MNRAS, 267, 779
- Frenk, C.S, White, S.D.M., Efstathiou, G. and Davis, M., 1990, ApJ, 351, 10
- Gioia, I.M., Maccacaro, T., Morris, S. L., Schild, R.E., Stocke, J.T., Wolter, A. and Henry, J.P., 1990, ApJS, 72, 567
- Gioia, I.M. and Luppino, G.A., 1994, ApJS, 94, 583
- Gioia, I.M., Shaya, E., LeFèvre, O., Falco, E.E., Luppino, G.A. and Hammer, F., 1998, ApJ, 497, 573
- Girardi, M., Fadda, D., Giuricin, G., Mardirossian, F. and Mezzetti, M., 1996, ApJ, 457, 61
- Hamana, T., Hattori, M., Ebeling, H., Henry, J.P., Futamase, T. and Y. Shioya, 1997, ApJ, 484, 574
- Henry, J.P., Gioia, I.M., Mullis, C.R., Clowe, D.I., Luppino, G.A., Boehringer, Briel, U.G., Voges, W. and Huchra J.P. 1997, AJ, 114, 1293
- Henry, J.P., 1997, ApJ, 489, L1
- Kaiser, N., 1991, ApJ, 383, 104
- LeFèvre, O., Deltorn, J.M., Crampton, D. and Dickinson. M., 1996, ApJ, 471, L11
- Lubin, L.M. and Bahcall, N.A., 1993, ApJ, 415, L17
- Lubin, L.M., Postman, M. and Oke, J.B., 1998, Ratnatunga, K.U., Gunn, J.E., Hoessel, J.G., Schneider, D.P., 1998, AJ, 116, 584

- Markevitch, M., 1998, ApJ, 504, 27
- Mushotzky, R.F. and Scharf, C.A., 1997, ApJ, 482, L13
- Oke, J.B., Cohen, J.G., Carr, M., Cromer, J., Dingizian, A., Harris, F.H., Labrecque, S., Lucinio, R., Schaal, W., Epps, H., and Miller, J., 1995, PASP, 107, 375
- Oke, J.B., Postman, M., Lubin, L., 1998, AJ, 116, 549
- Peres, C.B., Fabian, A.C., Edge, A.C., Allen, S.W., Johnstone, R.M., and White, D.A., 1999, MNRAS, in press
- Postman, M., Lubin, L.M. and Oke, J.B., 1998, AJ, 116, 560
- Raymond, J.C. and Smith, B.W., 1977 ApJS, 35, 419
- Rosati, P., Della Ceca, R., Norman, Colin and Giacconi, R., 1995, ApJ, 445, L11
- Rosati, P., Della Ceca, R., Norman, Colin and Giacconi, R., 1998 ApJ, 492, L21
- Rosati, P., Stanford, S.A., Eisenhardt, P.R., Elston, R., Spinrad, H., Stern, D. and Dey, A., 1999, AJ, submitted
- Smail, I. and Dickinson, M., 1995, ApJ, 455, L99
- Schindler, S. 1996, A&A, 756, 305
- Stanford, S. A., Elston, R., Eisenhardt, P. R., Spinrad, H., Stern, D. and Dey, A., 1997, AJ, 114, 2232
- Tanaka, Y., Inoue, H., and Holt, S.S. 1994, PASJ, 46, L37
- Trümper, J., 1983, Adv. Space. Res. 2, 142
- Van Haarlem, M.P., Frenk, C.S. and White, S.D.M, 1997, MNRAS, 287, 817
- Voges, W., et al., 1996, MPE Rep, 263, 637
- Wang, Q.D., Connolly, A.J., and Brunner, R.J. 1998, ApJ, 487, L13
- White, D.A. and Fabian, A.C. 1995, MNRAS, 273, 72
- White, D.A., Jones, C. & Forman, W., 1997, MNRAS, 292, 419.
- Wu, X-P, Fang, L-Z and Xu, W., 1998, A&A, 338, 813

Fig. 1.— The image is a 1024×1024 subarray extracted from the center of a 4500s exposure in the I-band taken by Luppino and Metzger with the UH $8K \times 8K$ CCD mosaic-camera on the CFHT prime focus. The exposure was made up of 5 dithered pointings each 900s long. This image has a seeing of $0''.7$ FWHM and spans $7'.2 \times 7'.2$ ($3.5 \times 3.5 h_{50}^{-1}$ Mpc at the redshift of the cluster). North is up and East to the left.

Fig. 2.— Distribution of velocities for the entire sample of 37 galaxies. The curve shows a Gaussian distribution with width given by the derived σ_{los} and normalized to the 37 galaxies considered cluster members. The K-S test plus a suite of other robust diagnostics indicate the distribution is Gaussian with a probability $>90\%$.

Fig. 3.— Two-dimensional χ^2 contours at 68.3% and 90% confidence levels ($\Delta\chi^2 = 2.30$ and 4.61) for the cluster iron abundance in units of solar abundance and temperature in keV. These contours represent the confidence contours of simultaneous fits to GIS and SIS spectra binned such that each bin contains a minimum of 20 counts.

Fig. 4.— The upper panel shows a CFHT I-band image of the central region of RXJ1716.6+6708 with contours of the HRI flux overlaid. The HRI raw counts were smoothed with a Gaussian of 5 arcsec width (1σ) and then corrected for particle background contamination and exposure time variations. The remaining X-ray background in this image is 4.3×10^{-7} ct s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$; the contours are placed at $1.8 \times \text{background} \times 1.15^n$. The bottom panel shows a Keck-II R-band image of the same area with the weak lensing contours from Clowe et al. (1998) overlaid. Either panel covers an area of 2.75×2.75 arcmin 2 ($1.3 \times 1.3 h_{50}^{-2}$ Mpc 2 at the redshift of the cluster).

Table 1. Spectroscopic data for the member galaxies of RXJ 1716.6+6708

ID	α (J2000)	δ (J2000)	cz (km/s)	cz (err)	z	Spectral Features
1	17:17:19.5	+67:11:48.9	241309	150	0.8049	H+K, CN
2	17:17:14.7	+67:11:35.3	244127	900	0.8143	[OII]
3	17:17:14.2	+67:11:39.7	241699	60	0.8062	H+K, CN
4	17:17:09.7	+67:10:48.9	244607	240	0.8159	[OII]
5	17:16:59.1	+67:10:18.6	246046	150	0.8207	H+K, CN, H γ
6	17:17:08.9	+67:09:27.1	243857	270	0.8143	H+K
7	17:17:01.0	+67:09:32.1	244187	120	0.8145	H+K, G-band
8	17:17:01.2	+67:09:24.0	242418	90	0.8086	H+K, CN
9	17:17:03.8	+67:08:59.6	242118	90	0.8076	[OII], H+K, H δ
10	17:16:56.9	+67:09:04.6	240110	90	0.8009	H+K, CN
11	17:16:55.1	+67:09:05.1	240350	90	0.8017	H+K, CN, H δ
12	17:16:51.0	+67:08:51.4	246016	90	0.8206	H+K, CN, G-band
13	17:16:54.5	+67:08:42.5	239630	30	0.7993	H+K, CN
14	17:16:55.0	+67:08:32.7	246315	900	0.8216	[OII]
15	17:16:51.4	+67:08:27.6	241639	160	0.8060	H+K, CN
16	17:16:54.1	+67:08:21.9	244817	900	0.8166	CaII-break
17	17:16:53.6	+67:08:07.6	240199	900	0.8012	H+K
18	17:16:50.1	+67:08:20.7	238191	180	0.7945	H+K, CN
19	17:16:50.1	+67:08:22.4	239240	900	0.7980	[OII]
20	17:16:48.5	+67:08:22.0	247515	450	0.8256	cD GALAXY, H+K
21	17:16:47.3	+67:08:17.6	243467	180	0.8121	H+K, CN, H δ
22	17:16:49.1	+67:08:22.0	246106	240	0.8209	H+K, CN
23	17:16:46.7	+67:08:16.0	243078	120	0.8108	H+K, CN
24	17:16:44.4	+67:08:11.0	247095	150	0.8242	CaII-break, CN
25	17:16:43.7	+67:09:43.9	242838	900	0.8100	[OII]
26	17:16:39.0	+67:08:33.0	242868	30	0.8101	[OII], H+K, H δ
27	17:16:36.9	+67:08:28.9	238251	60	0.7947	[OII], H+K, CN, G-band, 4C 67.26
28	17:16:36.9	+67:08:14.1	241039	90	0.8040	[OII], CN, H+K, H γ
29	17:16:34.4	+67:08:02.7	242118	900	0.8076	[OII]
30	17:16:23.5	+67:07:43.4	242598	900	0.8092	[OII]
31	17:16:32.6	+67:07:36.4	244157	150	0.8144	[OII], H+K, G-band
32	17:16:35.7	+67:07:48.2	239630	90	0.7993	[OII], CN, H+K
33	17:16:37.6	+67:07:30.4	241159	120	0.8044	H+K
34	17:16:42.6	+67:06:42.9	237771	900	0.7931	[OII]
35	17:16:49.0	+67:07:20.3	242928	60	0.8103	H+K, CN, G-band, H γ
36	17:16:54.7	+67:07:38.5	246945	300	0.8237	H+K, CN, G-band
37	17:16:58.5	+67:06:52.2	237561	30	0.7924	H+K

Table 2. ASCA observing information

Instrument	Arcmin Radius	Cts s ⁻¹	Screened Exposure in s
GIS2-obs1	6.25	$(3.618 \pm 0.750) \times 10^{-3}$	54,713
GIS2-obs2	6.25	$(5.471 \pm 1.154) \times 10^{-3}$	25,109
GIS3-obs1	6.25	$(7.604 \pm 0.824) \times 10^{-3}$	54,713
GIS3-obs2	6.25	$(8.781 \pm 1.214) \times 10^{-3}$	25,105
SIS0-obs1	2.5	$(5.171 \pm 0.596) \times 10^{-3}$	45,687
SIS0-obs2	2.5	$(6.278 \pm 0.865) \times 10^{-3}$	21,005
SIS1-obs1	2.5	$(4.691 \pm 0.619) \times 10^{-3}$	45,694
SIS1-obs2	2.5	$(5.393 \pm 0.905) \times 10^{-3}$	20,981

Table 3. X-ray data quantities

Instrument	$f_{0.5-2}$ keV erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	f_{2-10} keV erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	$L_{0.5-2}$ keV erg s ⁻¹	L_{2-10} keV erg s ⁻¹	L_{BOL} keV erg s ⁻¹
PSPC	$(1.13 \pm 0.31) 10^{-13}$	–	$(3.20 \pm 0.90) 10^{44}$	–	–
ASCA	$(1.66 \pm 0.10) 10^{-13}$	$(1.78 \pm 0.11) 10^{-13}$	$(4.57 \pm 0.24) 10^{44}$	$(8.19 \pm 0.43) 10^{44}$	$(17.4 \pm 0.91) 10^{44}$
HRI	$(1.42 \pm 0.21) 10^{-13}$	–	$(3.94 \pm 0.58) 10^{44}$	–	–

This figure "Gioia.fig1.jpeg" is available in "jpeg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9902277v1>

This figure "Gioia.fig2.jpeg" is available in "jpeg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9902277v1>

This figure "Gioia.fig3.jpeg" is available in "jpeg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9902277v1>

This figure "Gioia.fig4.jpeg" is available in "jpeg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9902277v1>